

BC

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date  
7 June 2001 (07.06.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/40446 A1**(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **C12N 5/06, 5/08, A61K 39/395, A61P 35/00, A61K 38/17, 47/48, 39/00 // C12Q 1/02**(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US00/30143**(22) International Filing Date:  
17 November 2000 (17.11.2000)(25) Filing Language: **English**(26) Publication Language: **English**(30) Priority Data:  
**PQ4416 2 December 1999 (02.12.1999) AU**(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [US/US]; Lilly Corporate Center, DC 1104, Indianapolis, IN 46285 (US).**

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **HACKET, Kristina, Clare [AU/AU]; 59 Euroka Street, North Sydney, New South Wales 2060 (AU). LOWE, Lionel, Barry [AU/AU]; 3 Mitchell Road, Dural, New South Wales 2158 (AU). ROTHWELL, James, Terence [AU/AU]; 9 Havelock Street, South Turramurra, New South Wales 2074 (AU).**(74) Agents: **DEMETER, John, C. et al.; Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, IN 46285 (US).**(81) Designated States (national): **AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.**(84) Designated States (regional): **ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).****Published:**

- *With international search report.*
- *Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments.*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

WO 01/40446 A1

(54) Title: **POUR-ON FORMULATIONS**

(57) **Abstract:** A non-irritant topically acceptable carrier selected from the group consisting of: a) i) at least one of tripropylene glycol methyl ether and dipropylene glycol methyl ether, and ii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol, wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about 60% wt of the carrier; b) i) at least one of octyl palmitate, octyl stearate and glyceryl tri caprylate/caprate, and ii) at least one of diethyl succinate, isopropyl myristate, cetearyl octanoate, propylene glycol 2 myristyl ether propionate, isopropyl palmitate, isopropyl laurate, isocetyl stearate, oleic acid and methyl oleate, and optionally including iii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol, wherein (ii) is present in an amount of up to about 40% wt of the carrier; and c) i) at least one of octyl palmitate, octyl stearate and glyceryl tri caprylate/caprate, and ii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol, wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about 60% wt of the carrier.

-1-

#### POUR-ON FORMULATIONS

The present invention relates to non-irritant carriers or carrier blends suitable for use in pour-on 5 formulations, the formulations themselves and to the use of those pour-on formulations in the control of external parasites in animals of agricultural worth including sheep, cattle, pigs, goats, camelids, horses and other small ruminants.

10 Animals of agricultural worth, such as sheep, cattle, horses, goats, pigs, other ruminants and camelids, are almost invariably subject to the activity of ectoparasites such as flies, ticks, lice and fleas. Such external parasites irritate the animals and can cause 15 economic losses in the forms of poor quality hide, wool or sheep skin, reduced weight gain and even death as a result of the animal carrying harmful parasites.

It has long been common practice to control 20 external parasites on sheep, cattle and other animals including goats, pigs and horses by the localised topical application of a pour-on formulation containing an active insecticide/parasiticide and a carrier/vehicle. A pour-on formulation is typically liquid and is usually applied to the exterior of an animal as a line or a spot, which then 25 acts to protect the external surface of the animal against external parasites such as lice, keds, mites, ticks and flies.

Ideally, when the formulation is applied topically 30 to a localised area, the ectoparasiticide migrates over the surface of the animal to protect its whole external surface area.

The carrier (also referred to herein as 'vehicle') present in such pour-on formulations is formulated to achieve good spread around the skin and/or penetration of

-2-

the epidermis of the animal. To date, commercial pour-on formulations are suspensions, emulsifiable concentrates or solutions and are often comprised of at least one organic solvent. Solvents commonly used as carriers in such pour-on 5 formulations include propylene glycol, paraffins, isoparaffins, aromatics, isopropyl myristate (IPM), glycol ethers, and alcohols such as n-propyl alcohol. U.S. Patent No. 4,672,072 discloses a non-aqueous carrier comprising one or more organic solvents such as xylene, toluene, 10 cyclohexanone and a glycol-such as ethylene glycol, polyethylene glycols, polypropylene glycols, propylene glycol, ethylene glycol-propylene glycol copolymers and alkyl ethers thereof. A preferred solvent system disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,672,072 comprises 30-70 wt% xylene, 20- 15 40 wt% cyclohexanone and 5-25 wt% vegetable oil. U.S. Patent No. 5,045,536 discloses a pour-on formulation in which the solvent system comprises 80-98% w/v of a non-volatile oil and 2-20% w/v of a volatile silicone.

Unfortunately, the solvent systems utilised as 20 carriers/vehicles in commercially available pour-on formulations may result in some form of tissue reaction which leads to discomfort to the animal and in many cases, damage to the hide, sheepskin or fleece and resultant economic loss. In particular, some breeds of sheep such as 25 the Merino have very sensitive skins which react to the solvent systems in some commercially available pour-on formulations. For example, aromatics such as xylene and the paraffins produce tissue reactions such as dryness, redness and cracking of the skin.

30 U.K. Patent GB 2 110 091 B attempts to address the problems of skin reactions in sheep treated with pour-on formulations by formulating a composition in which the carrier/vehicle comprises a first solvent selected from the group consisting of alkoxylated C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alcohols and a second

-3-

solvent selected from the group consisting of di ( $C_1-C_6$  alkyl) esters of  $C_2-C_6$  dicarboxylic acids or  $C_2-C_6$  dihydric alcohols and  $C_2-C_6$  carboxylate esters of alcohol alkoxylates. However, sensitive animal hide can still react 5 adversely to such formulations.

Similarly, European Patent Publication No. 0 120 286 B1 addresses the irritancy or toxicity caused to animals by solvent systems in pour-on formulations by providing an active ectoparasiticide in a glycol or glycerol ester of a 10  $C_8-C_{10}$  fatty acid. However, such oil-based formulations can still cause adverse epidermal reactions in animals topically treated with such formulations.

European Patent Publication No. 0 137 627 B1 discloses a pour-on formulation in which the active is an 15 endoparasiticide and the carrier comprises at least one saturated aliphatic ester of a mono alkyl ether of a mono- or poly-alkylene glycol such as 1-ethoxyprop-2-yl acetate and 2-(n-butoxy)ethyl butyrate. While the specification claims that such formulations are free from adverse skin 20 reaction in treated sheep or cattle, it is noted that adverse epidermal reactions can still be observed, particularly in sheep with sensitive skin.

Accordingly, prior art pour-on formulations -- even those promoted as non-irritant -- have been found by 25 the present inventors to cause pain and hide damage, and fleece damage in the case of sheep or other fleece bearing animals. Such formulations cause skin damage especially in sheep, which have very thin skin and are acutely susceptible to chemical skin damage.

30 Additionally, with conventional pour-on formulations 95-98% of the applied active ingredient remains at the site of application bound to the animal's fleece or hair, which results in a lack of efficacy.

-4-

This invention provides non-toxic and non-irritant carriers that can be used to prepare improved pour-on ectoparasiticidal formulations. The invention also provides these improved formulations, which are especially beneficial 5 because they can be topically applied to animals of agricultural worth to control ectoparasites without causing adverse epidermal reaction in the animals. The invention also provides a method of controlling ectoparasites in an animal of agricultural worth by topically applying one of 10 these non-irritant pour-on ectoparasiticidal formulations on the animal.

The word 'carrier' is used throughout the present specification to include carrier blends, that is mixtures of more than one substance.

15 The term "controlling" as used in this specification refers to preventing, ameliorating or eradicating the target ectoparasite.

Certain acronyms and abbreviations used throughout this specification are commonly used in this art and have 20 the following meanings:

The term "Alcohol" refers to benzyl alcohol, propyl alcohol, diacetone alcohol or other suitable alcohol;

COI is a blend of isopropyl myristate and cetearyl octanoate, which are branched chain esters; it acts as an 25 emollient and spreading agent;

DB is diethylene glycol n-butyl ether;

DPM is dipropylene glycol methyl ether;

GTCC is glycetyl tri caprylate/caprate, which is an excellent carrier or vehicle for active agents;

30 ICS is isocetyl stearate, which can be used as an emollient, lubricant and spreading agent;

IPM is isopropyl myristate, which has excellent spreading and emollient properties;

IPL is isopropyl laurate;

-5-

IPP is isopropyl palmitate;

OP is octyl palmitate or 2-ethylhexyl palmitate,  
which is an excellent lubricant;

OS is octyl stearate or 2-ethylhexyl stearate,

5 which is also a lubricant;

OSU is dioctyl succinate or di-2-ethylhexyl  
succinate, which promotes wetting and spreading of  
lipophilic substances onto the skin;

PG is propylene glycol;

10 PMP is, which spreads rapidly and promotes wetting  
of other material;

SC is a suspension concentrate;

TPM is tripropylene glycol methyl ether; and

WG is wool grease.

15 In a first aspect, the invention provides a non-  
irritant topically acceptable carrier selected from the  
group consisting of:

- a) i) at least one of TPM and DPM, and  
ii) at least one of alcohol, WG and PG,

20 wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about  
60% wt of the carrier;

- b) i) at least one of OP, OS and GTCC, and  
ii) at least one of OSU, IPM, COI, PMP, IPP,  
IPL, ICS, oleic acid and methyl oleate, and optionally

25 including

- iii) at least one of alcohol, WG and PG,

wherein (ii) is present in an amount of up to about 40%  
wt of the carrier; and

- c) i) at least one of OP, OS and GTCC, and  
ii) at least one of alcohol, WG and PG,

30 wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about  
60% wt of the carrier.

In a second aspect, the invention provides a non-  
irritant pour-on formulation for controlling an external

-6-

parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said formulation including an ectoparasiticidal amount of an active agent and a topically acceptable carrier of the first aspect of the invention.

5 A third aspect of this invention provides a method of controlling an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said method including topically applying an ectoparasiticidally effective volume of a pour-on formulation according to the second aspect of the invention 10 to a localised area of the external surface of the animal.

Another aspect of this invention relates to the use of a carrier of the first aspect in a non-irritant pour-on formulation for controlling an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth wherein the formulation also 15 includes an effective amount of an ectoparasiticidal agent.

The invention is predicated upon a novel approach to developing carriers suitable for use in non-irritant and non-toxic pour-on formulations that are to be used for animals of agricultural worth, such as sheep, cattle, 20 horses, goats and pigs. This approach involved determining the effects of a potential pour-on ingredient on the skin using a histopathological methodology rather than relying on clinical observation. Such a histopathological approach has resulted in the significantly improved pour-on formulations 25 of this invention.

The carriers of the first aspect of this invention have several advantages. They are non-irritant and effective. They also have a satisfactory freezing point, suitable viscosity and are cost effective. They easily 30 dissolve any active agent, are easy to use and provide superior operator safety.

The formulations prepared using these carriers (or vehicles) represent a great advance over currently available pour-on formulations which have been developed upon an ad

-7-

hoc basis. Such a histopathological approach has allowed for the identification and elimination of ingredients (including those used in current pour-on formulations) that cause skin/hide damage on a pathological level and also has 5 allowed for the accurate determination of what percentage of an irritant ingredient will not cause damage.

Further, the carriers and formulations of this invention promote the spread of active agent around the body and hence increase efficacy against ectoparasites which can 10 be present on any part of the body.

Finally, the formulations of this invention require the presence of less active agent, thereby reducing wool and tissue residues and environmental contamination.

One preferred embodiment of the first aspect of 15 this invention is a non-irritant, topically acceptable carrier selected from the group consisting of:

- a) i) at least one of TPM and DPM, and  
ii) at least one of alcohol, WG and PG,  
wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about 20 60% wt of the carrier; and
- b) i) at least one of OP, OS and GTCC, and  
ii) at least one of OSU, IPM, COI, PMP, IPP,  
IPL, ICS, oleic acid and methyl oleate,  
wherein (ii) is present in an amount of up to 25 about 40% wt of the carrier.

In carrier (a), solvent (i) is typically present in an amount of at least about 70% wt of the carrier.

When (a)(ii) is an alcohol, it is typically benzyl alcohol or diacetone alcohol.

30 An example of carrier (a) is TPM/ alcohol where TPM is present in an amount of at least about 60 % wt of the carrier. A particularly suitable TPM/alcohol carrier is TPM/benzyl alcohol. Typically, a TPM/alcohol carrier is.

-8-

formulated having a TPM:alcohol ratio in the range of 60-95:40-5, and more typically 80:20.

In carrier (b), substance (ii) is typically present in an amount of up to about 30 % wt of the carrier.

5 Examples of carrier (b) are: OP or OS/IPM/OSU where the combined amount of IPM and OSU is up to about 40% wt of the carrier; and GTCC/IPM/COI where the combined amount of IPM and COI is up to about 40% wt of the carrier. When the carrier is OP/IPM/OSU, the preferred ratio is a 10 range of OP:IPM:OSU of 60-90:20-5:20-5, most preferably 70:15:15. When the carrier is a combination of GTCC/IPM/COI, the preferred ratio of GTCC:IPM:COI is in a range of 60-90:20-5:20-5, preferably 70:15:15.

15 Optionally, carrier (b) can include: iii) at least one of alcohol, WG and PG.

One embodiment of the second aspect of the invention, i.e., a pour-on formulation for control of an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, is a formulation that includes:

20 (a) from 0.1 to 40% by weight of at least one active agent selected from the group consisting of synthetic pyrethroids, organophosphates, macrocyclic lactones (avermectins/milbemycins), benzoylphenylureas (and other insect growth regulators) and spinosyns; and

25 (b) from 60-99.9% by weight of a carrier of the first aspect of the invention.

More specifically, this embodiment provides a pour-on formulation for control of an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said formulation including:

30 (a) from 0.1 to 40% by weight of at least one active agent selected from the group consisting of synthetic pyrethroids, organophosphates, macrocyclic lactones (avermectins/milbemycins), benzoylphenylureas (and other insect growth regulators) and spinosyns; and

-9-

(b) from 60-99.9% by weight of a carrier selected from the group consisting of (1) TPM/alcohol, wherein the TPM is present in an amount of at least 60% by weight of the carrier; (2) OP/IPM/OSU wherein the combined amount of 5 IPM/OSU is at least 40% by weight of the carrier; and (3) GTCC/IPM/COI wherein the combined amount of IPM/COI is at least 40% by weight of the carrier.

The pour-on formulations of this invention can be in the form of a liquid, powder, emulsion, foam, paste, 10 aerosol, ointment, salve or gel. Typically, the pour-on formulation is liquid.

These pour-on formulations can be effectively applied to sheep, cattle, goats, other ruminants, camelids, pigs and horses. The formulations are particularly suitable 15 to be applied to sheep, especially short wool sheep.

The pour-on formulations are applied locally to the external surface of an animal. Although they can be applied at any time, certain regimens are preferable. For example, when the formulations are applied to sheep, they 20 are typically applied within 24 hours after shearing. The sheep are then usually treated each year after shearing. Fibre animals such as goats and camelids are also treated after shearing. Cattle are treated depending on the pest concerned, such as in autumn/winter for lice and in summer 25 for flies.

The pour-on formulation is typically applied by pouring in one or several lines or in a spot on the dorsal midline (back) or shoulder of an animal. More typically, the formulation is applied by pouring it along the back of 30 the animal, following the spine. The formulation can also be applied to the animal by other conventional methods, including wiping an impregnated material over at least a small area of the animal, or applying it using a

-10-

commercially available applicator, by means of a syringe, by spraying or by using a spray race.

An effective amount of the pour-on formulation for topical application will depend on several factors, e.g. the animal being treated, the active agent in the formulation, and the specific formulation being used. Generally, the formulation should provide about 0.1 - 2000 mg of the active agent/kg of animal body weight.

The effective amount of formulation will vary depending on the animal being treated. For example, when the formulation is to be applied to a cow, it should provide about 100 - 2000 mg of the active agent. When it is to be applied to a sheep, it should provide about 20 - 1000 mg of the active agent.

The effective amount of active (ectoparasiticidal) agent in the formulation will depend on both the agent and the carrier. Examples of preferred amounts of active agents (per kg of animal body weight) are: about 300 mg of spinosad or 100 mg of ivermectin or 600 mg of benzoylphenylurea or 80 mg of zeta-cypermethrin, when these agents are formulated in OP/IPM/OSU or TPM/alcohol or GTCC/IPM/COI.

A pour-on formulation of this invention is generally formulated such that the active agent is present in a concentration of about 0.1 - 20% weight/volume, preferably about 0.5 to 5%, depending on the potency of the active agent. Typically, the formulation will contain one or more of the preferred active agents in the following concentrations (weight/volume):

zeta-cypermethrin: about 0.5%;  
ivermectin: about 0.6%;  
hexaflumuron: about 4-5%; and  
spinosad: about 2%.

-11-

Typically, only a small volume of the pour-on formulation, such as in the order of 1-80 mL, is required in order to be effective against the external parasites. For larger animals such as cattle, a volume of 10-60 mL is preferred; and for smaller animals such as sheep, a volume of 5-20 mL is suitable.

In the pour-on formulations of this invention, the active/ectoparasiticidal agent can be a single insecticidal/ectoparasiticidal compound or a combination of two or more insecticidal/ectoparasiticidal compounds. The active agent is typically selected from the group consisting of spinosyns, synthetic pyrethroids, macrocyclic lactones, diamidides (formamidines) such as amitraz, thiazoles, dursban, carbamates, benzimidazoles, fipronil, imidacloprid, triazines, water-insoluble organo-phosphate compounds, propoxur, cabaryl, maldison, dimethoate, rotenone, piperonyl butoxide, *Bacillus thuringensis*, ronnel, crufomate, benzoylphenylureas and other insect growth regulators (IGR) such as hexaflumuron or insect development inhibitors (IDI), or related juvenile insect hormone analogues, including cyromazine and dicyclanil.

A particularly useful spinosyn is spinosad.

Examples of synthetic pyrethroids are cyhalothrin, bioresmethrin, bifenthrin, pyrethrins, permethrin, biopermethrin, phenothrin, alphamethrin, barthrin, 25 deltamethrin, phthalthrin, cypermethrin, dimethrin, flumethrin, resmethrin, fluvalinate, allethrin, cismethrin, cyfluthrin, indoethrin, cyphenoethrin, cyclethrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, sumithrin, tralocythrin, 30 fenpropanate and fenvalerate. Particularly useful pyrethrins are alpha-cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin.

Examples of macrocyclic lactones are ivermectin, abamectin, moxidectin, doramectin, eprinomectin, and milbemycin.

-12-

Examples of water-insoluble organo-phosphate compounds are tetrachlovinphos, chlorpyriphos methyl, pyrimiphos methyl, chlorpyriphos, diazinon, trichlorphos, fenchlorphos, coumaphos, crotoxyphos, chlofenvinephos, 5 dichlofenvinphos, dichlorfenthion, quinthiophos, propetamphos, famphur, bromophos ethyl, ethion, and dioxathion.

Examples of benzoylphenylureas are lufenuron, diflubenzuron, triflumuron and fluazuron.

10 More typically, the active agent is selected from the group consisting of spinosad, zeta-cypermethrin, ivermectin and hexaflumuron.

The carriers of this invention are non-aqueous. The active agent is suspended, dissolved or dispersed in the 15 carrier. The carrier promotes the penetration of the active agent through the animal's coat and spread of the agent over the skin.

In addition to the carrier and the active agent, the pour-on formulations of this invention can also include 20 one or more additional ingredients. Examples of suitable additional ingredients are stabilizers such as antioxidants, spreading agents, preservatives, adhesion promoters, active solubilisers such as oleic acid, viscosity modifiers, UV blockers or absorbers, and colourants. Surface active 25 agents, including anionic, cationic, non-ionic and ampholytic surface active agents, can also be included in these formulations.

The formulations of this invention typically include an antioxidant, such as BHT (butylated 30 hydroxytoluene). The antioxidant is generally present in amounts of at 0.1-5% (wt/vol).

Some of the formulations require a solubilizer, such as oleic acid, to dissolve the active agent, particularly if spinosad is used.

-13-

Common spreading agents used in these pour-on formulations are: IPM, IPP, caprylic/capric acid esters of saturated C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>18</sub> fatty alcohols, oleic acid, oleyl ester, ethyl oleate, triglycerides, silicone oils and DPM.

5 The pour-on formulations of this invention are prepared according to known techniques. Where the pour-on is a solution, the parasiticide/insecticide is mixed with the carrier or vehicle, using heat and stirring where required. Auxiliary or additional ingredients can be added 10 to the mixture of active agent and carrier, or they can be mixed with the active agent prior to the addition of the carrier. If the pour-on is an emulsion or suspension, these formulations are similarly prepared using known techniques.

A general procedure for preparing these 15 formulations involves these steps:

- 1) Weigh out the desired weight of technical active agent;
- 2) Add 0.1 - 1% w/v BHT or other appropriate antioxidant;
- 20 3) If the agent is spinosad, add oleic acid (4 times the weight of spinosad used), and dissolve by stirring;
- 4) Make up the desired volume by adding a carrier of this invention;
- 25 5) Mix by stirring and gently heating if necessary - to up to 50 degrees C; and
- 6) Dispense into impervious containers and protect from light.

This invention further provides a method of 30 controlling an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said method including topically applying an ectoparasitically effective volume of a pour-on formulation according to the second aspect of the invention to a localised area of the external surface of the animal.

-14-

The target external parasites include lice, ticks, mites, biting flies, carnivorous flies and fleas. Animals of agricultural worth include cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, horses, camelids and other ruminants.

5 More specifically, the method of this invention can be used

on sheep: to control ked (*Melophagus ovinus*), chewing louse (*Bovicola ovis*), sucking louse (*Linognatus pedalis*, *L. africanus*, *L. stenopsis*), sheep scab mite (*Psoroptes ovis*), itch mite (*Psorergates ovis*), mange mite (*Chorioptes ovis*), screw worms (*Cochliomyia spp.*, *Chrysomya spp.*, *Wohlfahrtia spp.*), ticks (*Boophilus spp.*, *Ixodes spp.*, *Haemophysalis spp.*, *Amblyomma spp.*, *Dermacentor spp.*, *Hyalomma spp.*, *Rhipicephalus spp.*), nasal bot flies (*Oestrus ovis*) and blowflies (*Lucilia*, *Calliphora*, *Phormia*, *Protophormia spp.*);

on goats: to control chewing louse (*Bovicola limbata*, *B. crassiceps*, *B. caprae*) and sucking louse species (*Linognathus spp.*);

20 on camelids: to control chewing lice (*Bovicola breviceps*);

on cattle: to control sucking louse (*Linognathus vituli*, *Haematopinus eurysternus*, *Solenopotes capillatus*) and chewing louse (*Bovicola bovis*), flies (e.g., *Musca domestica*, *Haematobia irritans*, *Stomoxyx calcitrans*), screw worms (*Chrysomya bezziana*, *Cochliomyia hominivorax*), midges, mosquitos, mites (*Chorioptes bovis*, *Sarcoptes bovis*, *Psoroptes ovis*, *Demodex bovis*), and ticks (*Boophilus spp.*, *Ixodes spp.*, *Haemophysalis spp.*, *Amblyomma spp.*, *Dermacentor spp.*, *Hyalomma spp.*, *Rhipicephalus spp.*, *Otobius megnini*);

30 on horses: to control ticks, mites (*Chorioptes equi*, *Psoroptes equi*, *Sarcoptes equi*, *Demodex equi*), chewing and sucking lice (*Bovicola equi*, *Haematopinus asini*), fleas,

-15-

Dipteran species (*Culicoides spp*, *Simulium spp* and other flies); and

on pigs: to control ticks, mites (including *Sarcoptes suis*, *Demodex suis*), lice (*Haematopinus spp*),

5 fleas and Dipteran fly species.

The formulation is applied to the dorsal midline of the animal, from the poll to the base of the tail.

Preferably, the formulation is applied using an applicator, usually a self-filling dosing gun with a nozzle to dispense 10 a narrow or wide band or lines of formulation along the back. The formulation is applied at 0.2 to 1 mL per kilogram of body weight or unit of surface area.

Alternatively, a set volume is applied to each bodyweight class, e.g., 10 mL for sheep or animals less than 30 kg, 15 mL for animals weighing 31 - 50 kg, and 20 mL for animals 15 weighing 51+ kg. In larger animals, for example in cattle, a typical volume would be 30 mL for animals less than 250 kg, 45 mL for animals weighing 250 to 400 kg and 60 mL for animals of 400+ kg in weight. The formulation can also be 20 applied from other containers or vessels as required.

Sheep and other fibre producing animals should be treated within 24 hours after shearing or fibre collection. Cattle, horses and other animals should be treated so as to ensure maximum impact on the pest to be controlled. For 25 example, cattle should be treated for lice control in autumn and/or winter. Nuisance or biting fly treatment is applied when flies begin to cause irritation. This invention is illustrated in a non-limiting manner by reference to the following Examples.

30

**Example 1: Pour-on carrier/vehicle sheep skin irritation studies**

Studies were conducted to investigate and characterise the changes occurring in Merino sheep skin

-16-

following the application of a range of candidate pour-on formulation components in order to discern safe carriers. Chemicals, emollients, wool grease derivatives and a wide range of formulations were applied (in 1 mL volumes) to each 5 of 18 sites on the back and flanks of 15 recently shorn Merino sheep.

In the first study, skin samples were collected at necropsy 3 ½ weeks after application. In subsequent studies, skin samples were collected 2 weeks after 10 application. Standard haematoxylin and eosin stained sections were prepared from each piece of treated skin. A histological scoring system was devised to allow comparison of treatments. Each skin was assessed for the degree of hyperkeratosis, acanthosis and inflammatory cell infiltrate 15 of the superficial dermis and given a rating score from 0 - 15. A score of 0 = no change through to a score of 15 for very severe damage. Normal skin scored 2 - 4, > 4 was abnormal and > 7 was very abnormal. Treatments yielding a score  $\geq$  4.5 were considered safe.

20 Table 1 summarizes the results of these studies.

**Table 1. Mean skin irritation scores**

Chemical	Score
PMP	9
cetearyl octanoate	4.3
IPM	8
OP	3.3
OSU	4.8
GTCC	2
lanolin oil/IPM (80:20)	7.5
GTCC/IPM (80:20)	2.5
OP/IPM (80:20)	2.5
GTCC/COI (80:20)	3

-17-

OP/OSU (80:20)	4.5
DB	7
DPM	4
TPM	3
Diacetone alcohol	2
TPM/diacetone alcohol (80:20)	2.5
OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15)	3.2
TPM/benzyl alcohol (80:20)	2.7
untreated	2.5

A small experiment was conducted to investigate the pathology over time following application of IPM. It was applied to 2 separate sites on 1 sheep on 1, 2, 4, 7, 10 5 and 14 days before slaughter.

These studies showed that, despite careful daily observation of the skin, some chemicals caused severe histological dermatitis in the absence of grossly observable changes. IPM caused severe dermatitis. Adding small 10 percentages of other emollients or wool grease failed to make IPM non-irritant. Reducing the IPM percentage to 20% could yield a non-irritant formulation as long as the other components were non-irritant. Several other excipients were 15 also highly irritant - such as PMP, C8-C10 methyl esters, methyl oleate, DB, 2-octyl-dodecanol and propylene glycol dicaprylate dicaprate. Other excipients and mixtures of excipients caused mild to moderate dermatitis - such as cetearyl octanoate, DPM, propoxy 15 stearyl alcohol, ICS and OSU. Some mixtures were non-irritant - such as OP/IPM 20 (80:20); GTCC/OP (80:20); OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15); GTCC/IPM/COI (70:15:15); TPM/benzyl alcohol (80:20) and several mixtures incorporating wool grease derivatives.

-18-

GTCC, OP, diacetone alcohol, liquid wool grease, lanolin oil, and TPM were non-irritant.

A commercial formulation of deltamethrin in cyclohexane caused moderate dermatitis. This formulation was reported to cause scab formation on the skin, leading to damage detectable in tanned wool skins (Britt, Cotton, Trask and Pitman, 1984, *Aust vet J*, 61, 329-330). The pathology described in that paper was similar in type and pattern to that seen associated with the same formulation in these studies, but was milder than the severe dermatitis associated with several vehicles investigated in these studies.

**Examples 2-11 describe *In vivo* formulation  
15 comparison and efficacy studies.**

Trials were conducted to assess the comparative efficiency of certain pour-on formulations of this invention and commercially available pour-on formulations in the control of external parasites in sheep.

**20 Example 2: Evaluation of wool grease formulations  
and IPM for the control of *Bovicola ovis*  
using zeta-cypermethrin and spinosad.**

The purposes of this study were to evaluate wool grease as a formulation to deliver spinosad, to determine the dose required to kill 100% of lice in sheep and to evaluate the suitability of the formulation to deliver zeta-cypermethrin, compared with an isopropyl myristate (IPM) formulation.

Sheep were heavily infested with the highly synthetic pyrethroid (SP) resistant, Hartley strain of lice. Sheep were shorn, lice counted and sheep allocated to ten groups of six sheep, each divided into three groups of two per treatment. All sheep were treated at the rate of 2 mL of test formulation/10 kg of body weight. Treatments were,

-19-

respectively: wool grease only; 2 mg/kg deltamethrin and 4 mg/kg alphacypermethrin in commercial formulations; spinosad in wool grease at 0.08 mg/kg, 0.4 mg/kg, 2 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg; zeta-cypermethrin in wool grease at 0.66 mg/kg and 2 mg/kg; and spinosad in IPM at 0.4 mg/kg. Lice numbers were counted weekly for eight weeks.

At eight weeks: 2 mg/kg deltamethrin gave 8% efficacy; 4 mg/kg alphacypermethrin gave 9% efficacy; 0.66 mg/kg zeta-cypermethrin gave 0% efficacy; 2 mg/kg zeta-cypermethrin gave 43% efficacy; 0.08, 0.4, 2 and 10 mg/kg spinosad in wool grease gave 42%, 54%, 98% and 99.9% efficacy, respectively; and 0.4 mg/kg spinosad in IPM gave 85% efficacy.

There were no significant differences in efficacy at eight weeks between zeta-cypermethrin in wool grease and deltamethrin and alphacypermethrin in the commercial pour-ons tested; however, zeta-cypermethrin (2 mg/kg) in wool grease gave better lice control than the commercial pour-ons for the first 5 weeks. Spinosad in IPM gave better control of sheep lice than spinosad in the wool grease gave at comparable dose rates.

**Example 3: Evaluation of spinosad in a variety of carriers as a pour-on formulation for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep.**

The aim of this study was to select the most efficacious of a range of eight formulations that were selected on the basis of safety, physical properties, efficacy, cost and theories of dermal insecticide spread.

Each formulation was prepared to provide spinosad at a dose of 0.4 mg/kg and applied at a rate of 1 mL/5 kg of body weight. Groups of lousy sheep housed indoors (6 sheep per group) were treated with spinosad formulated in these carriers: IPM containing 0.6% oleic acid (IPM),

-20-

GTCC/IPM/COI (70:15:15), OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15), TPM/WG/GTCC (60:20:20), OP/IPM (80:20), TPM/OSU (80:20), GTCC/OP (80:20) and an aqueous formulation.

Five of the formulations, IPM, GTCC/IPM/COI,  
5 OP/IPM/OSU, TPM/WG/GTCC and the aqueous formulation, all  
gave about 90% efficacy by the end of the study. OP/IPM was  
inferior to the others and was eliminated. TPM/OSU and  
GTCC/OP were also somewhat less effective than the rest.  
The TPM/WG/OSU formulation was eliminated because WG-  
10 containing formulations cause dirty discolouration of the  
wool in sheep run outdoors. IPM alone was not a practical  
formulation due to skin irritation.

The best formulations, in order of cost from  
cheapest to most expensive, were: aqueous, OP/IPM/OSU and  
15 GTCC/IPM/COI.

**Example 4: Determination of the therapeutic  
efficacy and dose titration of spinosad  
in a pour-on formulation for the control  
of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep**

This study was carried out to select the dose of  
spinosad required to eradicate sheep body lice when applied  
as a pour-on in OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15) containing 5% oleic  
acid, and to compare the efficacy of spinosad at 0.4 mg/kg  
in a suspension concentrate (SC) formulation applied as a  
pour-on with that of the pour-on organic solvent  
25 formulation. The spinosad formulations were applied at 1  
mL/5kg and at 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6 and 3.2 mg/kg to 6  
groups of 6 recently shorn sheep that were housed outdoors  
for 6 weeks.

30 The results observed with the OP/IPM/OSU/oleic  
acid formulation are summarized in **Table 2:**

-21-

**Table 2: Comparison of Efficacy of Various Dosage  
Levels of Spinosad Administered in an  
OP/IPM/OSU Pour-On Formulation**

	Spinosad Dosage (mg/kg)	Efficacy (%) at Day
5		
10	0.2	37
	0.4	46
	0.8	78
	1.6	37
	3.2	93

In the SC formulation spinosad at 0.4 mg/kg gave

15 65% efficacy.

**Example 5: Diffusion of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -zeta-cypermethrin  
on sheep skin in a variety of carriers**

20 This study compared the amount and rate of diffusion of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled zeta-cypermethrin from the dorsal midline of sheep, when applied in wool grease, in an aqueous formulation and in a range of test carriers.

25 Five formulations containing 10 mg/mL zeta-cypermethrin spiked with 100  $\mu\text{Ci}$  [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] zeta-cypermethrin were prepared using the following carriers: wool grease (1), 100 g/L emulsifiable concentrate (EC) diluted 1:10 in water (2), IPM (3), OS (4), and GTCC (5).

30 Each formulation was applied to the backline of three sheep at 1 mL/5kg body weight. Wool was collected from three 12 x 12-mm squares chosen at random, along meridian lines drawn 2, 7.5 and 15 cm down the side of each sheep from the backline. The wool samples were collected at

-22-

1, 2, 4, 8, 11 and 14 days after treatment, and each day's samples were pooled. The clipped areas were also swabbed. At day 14 after treatment, the wool at the site of application was collected and back and perirenal fat samples 5 were collected. The quantity of zeta-cypermethrin in each sample was measured by liquid scintillation counting.

At most meridians and at most times IPM gave the greatest spread of zeta-cypermethrin and wool grease the least. In the wool-grease formulations, the amount of zeta-cypermethrin present continued to increase with time only at 10 the 2-cm meridian. The quantity of zeta-cypermethrin measured at all meridians increased with time following IPM. OS and GTCC gave modest spread, but the data did not allow determination of whether spread was continuing. The EC gave 15 little spread, and movement of zeta-cypermethrin reached a plateau after 2 weeks. IPM caused a severe scabbing/crusting of the skin at the site of application.

The experiment was concluded at 2 weeks post-treatment. Wool grease and the EC formulation gave poor 20 spread, GTCC and OS gave better spread and IPM gave the best spread of zeta-cypermethrin of the vehicles tested.

25           **Example 6: Evaluation of zeta-cypermethrin in a variety of carriers, as a pour-on formulation for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep**

These studies were devised to determine the efficacy of zeta-cypermethrin (at 2 mg/kg of body weight) in 30 several nonaqueous formulations, and a commercial formulation of deltamethrin, on sheep infested with a severely synthetic pyrethroid (SP) resistant (Hartley) strain and a moderately SP resistant (Claremont) strain of *Bovicola ovis*. Some additional studies were undertaken with

-23-

susceptible and slightly resistant lice to determine whether zeta-cypermethrin was able to kill lice under optimum conditions.

The nonaqueous formulations tested were: OP/IPM  
5 (80:20); 2) GTCC/OP (80:20); 3) WG/C8-10 methyl esters/OP/GTCC [20%WG, 20% esters and 60% of OP/GTCC (80:20)]; 4) 20% WG and 80% GTCC/OP (80:20); and 5) 85% GTCC/OP (80:20) and 15% WG.

Against lice with high and moderate levels of resistance to the SPs, no treatment gave satisfactory lice control. Increasing the volume of formulation applied, but keeping the dose constant, led to a slight increase in efficacy against the moderately resistant strain. Zeta-cypermethrin eradicated lice on sheep infested with the susceptible strain and gave excellent control against a mildly resistant strain.

None of the formulations was significantly superior. The liquid wool-grease-containing formulations produced a very unsightly dirty mark in the wool along the treated area that would not be acceptable to farmers.

The OP/IPM or GTCC/OP formulations appeared to provide a good starting point to find a useful zeta-cypermethrin sheep pour-on formulation.

25                   **Example 7: Evaluation of zeta-cypermethrin in a variety of carriers, as a pour-on formulation for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep**

30                   Example 6 revealed that zeta-cypermethrin at 2 mg/kg did not give adequate control against SP-resistant strains of *Bovicola ovis*, but did control SP-susceptible lice. This study evaluated various zeta-cypermethrin

-24-

formulations for their effectiveness in controlling sheep body lice.

Five formulations of zeta-cypermethrin (Treatments 3-9) were compared to a commercial suspension concentrate 5 (SC) formulation of alphacypermethrin for efficacy to treat moderately SP-resistant lice in sheep. The formulations were tested as follows:

	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Carrier</u>	<u>Dosage (mg/kg)*</u>
10	1	Control	0
	2	Alphacypermethrin SC	4
	3	OP/IPM (80:20)	2
	4	"	4
	5	GTCC/OP (80:20)	2
	6	"	4
15	7	TPM/WG/GTCC (60:20:20)	4
	8	OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15)	4
	9	TPM/benzyl alcohol (80:20)	4

\* The 2 mg/kg dosage was applied at 10 g/L; and the 4mg/kg dosage was applied at 20 g/L.

None of the zeta-cypermethrin formulations tested eradicated lice. The TPM/benzyl alcohol formulation gave the lowest counts following treatment. The OP/IPM at 2 mg/kg and OP/IPM/OSU at 4 mg/kg formulations gave the next best control. The OP/IPM and GTCC/OP formulations at 4 mg/kg were similar in efficacy to that of the alpha-cypermethrin suspension concentrate formulation.

These results indicated that the TPM/benzyl alcohol or OP/IPM/OSU formulations delivering 4 mg/kg zeta-cypermethrin would control strains of lice exhibiting zero to low resistance to SPs. Since zeta-cypermethrin at 2 mg/kg in an inferior formulation (Example 6) eliminated susceptible lice, a TPM/benzyl alcohol or OP/IPM/OSU

-25-

formulation delivering 4 mg/kg zeta-cypermethrin should give excellent control of SP-susceptible lice.

5           **Example 8:   Small scale trial to evaluate  
              spinosad in a variety of carriers, as a  
              pour-on formulation for the control of  
              Bovicola ovis on sheep**

In Example 3, lousy sheep housed indoors were treated with 0.4 mg/kg spinosad formulated in OP/IPM/OSU and 10 lice numbers declined by 90%. When a similar formulation containing 5% oleic was applied to sheep housed outdoors, efficacy was only 46% (Example 4). This experiment explored the effects of an outdoor environment and oleic acid on the 15 efficacy of a spinosad-containing pour-on formulation against lice.

Spinosad was formulated at 2 g/L in OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15). Each formulation was applied at 1 mL/5kg (or 0.4 mg/kg) to groups of two louse-infested sheep. There was an outdoor untreated control group. One indoor and one 20 outdoor group were treated with OP/IPM/OSU as in Example 3. Another outdoor group was treated with OP/IPM/OSU plus 5% oleic acid as in Example 4. A fourth outdoor group was treated with OP/IPM/OSU plus 5% oleic acid plus the antioxidant BHT.

25           The indoor treated sheep had a 77% percent reduction in lice numbers after 28 days; this result was similar to the effect observed in Example 3. The outdoor untreated group had 0% reduction in counts. Both outdoor groups treated with oleic acid-containing formulations had 30 40-50% reduction in counts, which was similar to the efficacy seen in Example 4. BHT had no effect on efficacy.

**Example 9:   Evaluation of spinosad in a variety  
              of carriers, as a pour-on formulation**

-26-

for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on  
sheep.

This study assessed the effectiveness of spinosad  
5 in an OP/IPM/OSU formulation, a TPM/benzyl alcohol  
formulation, a suspension concentrate and two other aqueous  
formulations to control lice outdoors. Another purpose was  
to confirm that outdoor conditions reduce the efficacy of  
any formulation, as compared to indoor conditions. The  
10 study also assessed the efficacy of UV absorbers/blockers on  
outdoor efficacy. Merino sheep were used, and the study ran  
for 6 weeks.

All formulations contained spinosad at 2 mg/kg  
(1mL/5kg body weight). Each of the five formulations was  
15 given with a UV blocker present. In addition, the  
OP/IPM/OSU formulation without UV blocker was tested indoors  
and outdoors.

The nonaqueous formulations contained 1% spinosad  
and were formulated and tested as follows:

20	<u>Group</u>	<u>Carrier</u>	<u>Ratio</u>		
				<u>Housed</u>	
	2	OP/IPM/OSU	70:15:15		
		indoors			
	3	OP/IPM/OSU/zinc oxide/IPP/BHT			
25		63:13:13:6.6:2.9:1	outdoors		
	4	OP/IPM/OSU	70:15:15		
		outdoors			
	5	TPM/benzyl alcohol/zinc oxide/IPP/BHT			
		73:18:6.6:2.9:1	outdoors		

30 The OP/IPM/OSU formulation delivering 2 mg/kg  
spinosad gave 99.96% efficacy indoors; this result was  
significantly superior to that seen with the same  
formulation outdoors with or without a UV blocker. In the  
groups housed outdoors, each formulation was similar in

-27-

effectiveness, although the OP/IPM/OSU formulation was the most effective. UV blockers did not significantly increase efficacy.

5           **Example 10: Evaluation of a variety of pour-on carriers with ivermectin and hexaflumuron for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep**

10           Several previous experiments (Examples 3, 4, 6, 8 and 9) have shown that an OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15) formulation is both safe for sheep skins and an effective vehicle to deliver zeta-cypermethrin and spinosad to control lice in sheep. Similarly, carriers based on TPM, such as TPM/benzyl alcohol (80:20) are safe and effective. The aim of this 15 study was to demonstrate that these formulations could deliver other classes of ectoparasiticides, such as macrocyclic lactones (ivermectin) and insect growth regulators (hexaflumuron).

20           In the study, groups of 4 Merino sheep, housed indoors, were treated with ivermectin (40 mg/sheep) or hexaflumuron (600 mg/sheep) in OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15) or TPM/benzyl alcohol (80:20). Sheep were treated with 20 mL of formulation applied to the backline. Six sheep were left 25 untreated as controls. Lice were counted every 2 weeks for 12 weeks.

25           Tables 3 and 4 summarize the results of these studies.

30           **Table 3: Lice counts in sheep at day 0 and 14, 28, 42, 56 and 85 days after treatment with ivermectin<sup>a</sup>**

-28-

Group	Day 0	Day 14	Day 28	Day 42	Day 56	Day 85
<b>Control</b>						
Mean	618.7	540.0	463.7	611.0	562.8	533.0
Geo Mean	608.8	518.4	414.5	586.5	513.8	461.4
<b>OP/IPM/OSU<sup>b</sup></b>						
Mean	706.0	2.3	0.5	0	0	0
Geo Mean	698.4	1.3	0.3			
<b>TPM/Benzyl Alcohol<sup>c</sup></b>						
Mean	606.0	9.5	4.3	0.5	0.5	0
Geo Mean	564.9	6.3	1.9	0.4	0.3	

<sup>a</sup> 40 mg/sheep<sup>b</sup> 70:15:15<sup>c</sup> 80:20

5

Table 4: Lice Counts in sheep at day 0 and 14,  
 28, 42, 56 and 85 days after treatment  
 with hexaflumaron<sup>a</sup>

10

Group	Day 0	Day 14	Day 28	Day 42	Day 56	Day 85
<b>Control</b>						
Mean	618.7	540.0	463.7	611.0	562.8	533.0
Geo Mean	608.8	518.4	414.5	586.5	513.8	461.4
<b>OP/IPM/OSU<sup>b</sup></b>						
Mean	690.0	86.3	37.8	14.5	6.0	2.3
Geo Mean	683.1	39.0	8.6	3.3	2.9	1.1

-29-

<b>TPM Benzyl</b>						
<b>Alcohol<sup>c</sup></b>	672.3	324.5	110.8	46.8	44.3	16.8
Mean	656.7	266.9	91.0	31.7	32.4	10.3
Geo Mean						

<sup>a</sup> 600 mg/sheep

<sup>b</sup> 70:15:15

<sup>c</sup> 80:20

5

**Example 11: Evaluation of spinosad in two pour-on formulations and ivermectin as a pour-on for the control of *Bovicola ovis* on sheep housed outdoors**

10

This study evaluated the efficacy of spinosad to eradicate lice in sheep when administered in two pour-on vehicles. It also determined the effect of increasing dose or volume on efficacy and whether the incorporation of UV blockers/absorbers increases efficacy. The study further evaluated the efficacy of ivermectin to eradicate lice when administered in a pour-on formulation to sheep housed outdoors.

15 Spinosad was administered in OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15) at 2 and 10 mg spinosad/kg body weight, with and without UV blockers, and applied at 2 mL/5kg of body weight. Ivermectin was administered at 40 mg/sheep in 20 mL of OP/IPM/OSU (70:15:15). Spinosad was also administered in an aqueous formulation at 2, 10 and 50 mg/kg without UV blockers and applied at 1 mL/5kg. In addition, the 2 mg/kg formulation was applied at 1 mL/kg. All treatments were applied as a broad band along the backline. The study ran for 6 weeks.

-30-

These results were observed:

A) Spinosad in OP/IPM/OSU: at 10 mg/kg, with or without UV blockers, it eradicated lice outdoors; at 2 mg/kg, it gave 85 to 98% efficacy.

5 B) Spinosad in aqueous formulation: at 50 mg/kg, it eradicated lice; at 10 mg/kg, it gave 98% efficacy; at 2 mg/kg, it gave 74% efficacy when applied at 1 mL/5kg and 61% efficacy when applied at 1 mL/kg.

10 C) Ivermectin in OP/IPM/OSU: at 40 mg/sheep, it gave 96% efficacy.

D) The use of UV blockers or increasing the volume applied did not increase efficacy.

15 Example 12: Physical characteristics of various formulations.

The physical characteristics of various individual solvents and solvent combinations were determined. Table 5 summarizes the results of these determinations.

20 Table 5: Summary of formulation properties and freezing points

Vehicle	Physical Properties
IPM	Freeze -2 to -6°C, penetrates + spreads, modifies heavy oils
GTCC	Freeze -5 to -13°C, safe, solvent/diluent
OP or OS	Freeze 3 to -4°C, spreads, lubricant
OSU	Freeze 0 to 15°C, good spreader, wets
COI	Freeze 0°C, water resistant, good wetter + spreader
TPM	Freezes <-15°C

-31-

OP/IPM (80:20)	Freezes -4 to -7°C
OP/OSU (80:20)	Freezes -7 to -10°C
GTCC/IPM (80:20)	Freezes < -10°C
TPM/2-octyl dodecanol (80:20)	Freezes -15°C
TPM/diaceton e alcohol (80:20)	Freezes <-15°C

-32-

**CLAIMS**

1. A non-irritant topically acceptable carrier selected from the group consisting of:

- 5        a) i) at least one of tripropylene glycol methyl ether and dipropylene glycol methyl ether, and  
            ii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol,

10      wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about 60% wt of the carrier;

- 15      b) i) at least one of octyl palmitate, octyl stearate and glyceryl tri caprylate/caprate, and  
            ii) at least one of dioctyl succinate, isopropyl myristate, cetearyl octanoate, propylene glycol 2 myristyl ether propionate, isopropyl palmitate, isopropyl laurate, isocetyl stearate, oleic acid and methyl oleate, and optionally including

20      iii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol,

25      wherein (ii) is present in an amount of up to about 40% wt of the carrier; and

- 30      c) i) at least one of octyl palmitate, octyl stearate and glyceryl tri caprylate/caprate, and  
            ii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol,

35      wherein (i) is present in an amount of at least about 60% wt of the carrier.

2. A carrier of Claim 1 wherein the carrier is selected from (a), (i) is tripropylene glycol methyl ether, and (ii) is an alcohol selected from benzyl alcohol and diacetone alcohol.

3. A carrier of Claim 2 wherein the ratio of tripropylene glycol methyl ether:alcohol is in the range of 60-95:40-5.

35 4. A carrier of Claim 1 wherein the carrier is selected from (b).

-33-

5. A carrier of Claim 4 wherein the ratio of tripropylene glycol methyl ether:alcohol is 80:20.

6. A carrier of Claim 1 wherein the carrier is selected from (b).

5 7. A carrier of Claim 6 wherein (i) is octyl palmitate or octyl stearate.

8. A carrier of Claim 6 or 7 wherein (ii) comprises isopropyl myristate and dioctyl succinate.

9. A carrier of Claim 8 wherein (i) is octyl 10 palmitate and the ratio of octyl palmitate:isopropyl myristate:dioctyl succinate is 60-90:20-5:20-5.

10. A carrier of Claim 9 wherein the ratio is 70:15:15.

11. A carrier of Claim 6 wherein (i) is glycetyl tri 15 caprylate/caprate and (ii) comprises isopropyl myristate and a blend of isopropyl myristate and cetearyl octanoate.

12. A carrier of Claim 11 wherein the ratio of glycetyl tri caprylate/caprate:isopropyl myristate:blend of 20 isopropyl myristate and cetearyl octanoate is in a range of 60-90:20-5:20-5.

13. A carrier of Claim 12 wherein the ratio is 70:15:15.

14. A carrier of Claim 6 which further includes (iii) at least one of alcohol, wool grease and propylene glycol.

25 15. A non-irritant pour-on formulation for control of an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said formulation including an ectoparasiticidal amount of an active agent and a carrier of any one of Claims 1 - 14.

16. A formulation of Claim 15 wherein the active agent 30 is selected from the group consisting of spincsyns, synthetic pyrethroids, macrocyclic lactones, diamidides (formamidines), thiazoles, dursban, carbamates, benzimidazoles, fipronil, imidacloprid, triazines, water-insoluble organo-phosphate compounds, propoxur, cabaryl, 35 maldison, dimethoate, rotenone, piperonyl butoxide, *Bacillus thuringensis*, ronnel, crufomate, benzoylphenylureas and

-34-

other insect growth regulators, insect development inhibitors, or juvenile insect hormone analogues.

17. A formulation of Claim 16 wherein the active agent is a spinosyn, and the spinosyn is spinosad.

5 18. A formulation of Claim 16 wherein the active agent is a synthetic pyrethroid, and the pyrethroid is a cypermethrin.

19. A formulation of Claim 16 wherein the active agent is a macrocyclic lactone, and the macrocyclic lactone is  
10 ivermectin.

20. A formulation of Claim 16 wherein the active agent is an insect growth regulator, and the insect growth regulator is hexaflumuron.

21. A formulation of any one of Claims 15-20 wherein  
15 the animal of agricultural worth is a sheep.

22. A method of controlling an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth, said method including topically applying an ectoparasiticidally effective volume of a pour-on formulation according to any one of Claims  
20 15-21.

23. A carrier as claimed in any one of Claims 1-14 for use in a non-irritant pour-on formulation for controlling an external parasite in an animal of agricultural worth wherein the formulation also includes an effective amount of an  
25 ectoparasiticidal agent.

24. A carrier as claimed in any one of Claims 1-14 for use in therapy.